

TANANA CHIEFS CONFERENCE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR PROJECT MANAGEMENT SERVICES HUSLIA BIRCH GROVE WATER AND SEWER PROJECT

ADDENDUM NUMBER ONE (1)

April 4, 2025

The following corrections, changes, additions, deletions, revisions, and /or clarifications are hereby made a part of the Request for Proposal (RFP) for Project Management Services for the Huslia Birch Grove Water and Sewer Project.

Firms providing a proposal will acknowledge receipt of this addendum via email to Cortnie Doan at the following; cortnie.doan@tananachiefs.org

Final proposal to be sent via email to cortnie.doan@tananachiefs.org

AD1-1 Question; Request ANTHC project PER.

AD1-1 Reply; The PER will not be included in this RFP because it pertains to the full construction of the project, while this RFP is focused on only a portion of it. The complete PER will be provided after a contract has been finalized with the chosen proposer.

AD1-2 Question; What is the current design status? Based on the figure included in the RFP, we believe at or near the 95% design level. Request the most recent design submittal

AD1-2 Reply; The engineering design phase has yet to begin, but the vendor selection is complete, and negotiations are currently underway.

AD1-3 Question; The Terms and Conditions begin in section IV on page 8. Between page 8 through 18 there appears to be proposal requirements mixed in with contractual Terms and Conditions.

AD1-3 Reply; Attached is the contract template that will be modified and finalized for use. Please note that supplemental terms and conditions may be included alongside this agreement. External parties not currently registered can request access to the template by contacting Cortnie Doan via email at cortnie.doan@tananachiefs.org.

AD1-4 Question; What is the purpose of the requirement of respondents to submit a separate cost proposal if the cost proposal is not part of scoring?

AD1-4 Reply; Cost is not scored but remains important in the selection process. It is submitted separately and kept confidential from evaluators, ensuring unbiased scoring. After evaluations, the committee reviews scores, discusses adjustments, and then introduces cost for final deliberation. Negotiations follow, where assumptions are clarified, and a best and final offer may be requested from multiple bidders. The initial price helps set the stage but may not reflect the final agreement.